

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,702	04/13/2001	Jong-Won Seok	11349-P66578US0	4947
43569	7590 09/16/2005		EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP 1909 K STREET, N.W.			PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA	
	VASHINGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/833,702	SEOK ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pramila Parthasarathy	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WILD MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any experience.	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, make filed within two mon	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any this of the date			
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous to the same of	be filed within the time period set for but prior to the date of filing a brie onsideration and/or search (see NO	orth in 37 CFR 41.37( f, will <u>not</u> be entered	a).			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	):					
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: NONE.  Claim(s) objected to: NONE.  Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).



REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art is believed to read on the claims Applicant argues that Moskowitz fails to teach or even remotely suggest "a linear predication analyzing unit for receiving an original signal and detecting a prediction coefficient predetermined through the linear predication analysis". Examiner directs to Moskowitz teaching with different embodiments in the Summary for watermark insertion of digital watermark including use of linear and non-linear generators and Column 12 lines 5 - 9 for advantages of linear generator for audio signal. Examiner respectfully maintains rejection for claims 1-13.

SUPERVISORY PRIVENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100